**IMMANUEL ARASAR COLLEGE OF EDUCATION**

**Student Satisfaction Survey –B.Ed & M.Ed**

**Academic Year – 2017-18**

## Q1. Syllabus Covered

Q2.Teachers'preparationfortheclasses

Q3. Teachers' ability of communication

50

45

40 48.864

*# Percentage*

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

41.839

7.025

2.066 0.207

60

50 38.43 54.959

*# Percentage*

40

30

20

10

0

4.959

1.033 0.62

70

60

50 63.43

*# Percentage*

40

30

20

10

0

23.864

10.537

1.343 0.826

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

## Q4. Teachers' approach toteaching

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

## Q5. Fairness of internal evaluationprocess

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

## Q6. Discussion of performance in assignment with students

45 37.397

40

35

*# Percentage*

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

44.525

15.599

1.963 0.517

60

50 59.607

*# Percentage*

40

30

20

10

0

31.508

7.025

1.136 0.723

50

45

40 48.864 34.607

*# Percentage*

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

11.26

3.202 2.066

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

## Q7.Institute'sinterestinpromotinginternship, student exchange, fieldvisit

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

## Q8.TeachingandMentoringforcognitive, social & emotionalgrowth

0

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

## Q9. Multiple opportunities to learn and grow

50 50

45 45

50

45

40 33.368

*# Percentage*

49.69

40 46.384

*# Percentage*

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

25.517

19.938

6.095

2.066

40 48.554

35 30.475

*# Percentage*

30

25

20

15

10

5

17.252

2.996 0.723

35

30

25

20 14.05

15

10

5

0

2.376

0.517

0

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

0

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

## Q10. Teachers' information about expected

competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes

Q11. The necessary follow-up with an assigned task

Q12. Teachers' illustration of the concepts through examples and applications

50 70

50

45 40.186

45

40 46.488

*# Percentage*

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

38.326

11.157

3.099 0.93

40 46.074

35

*# Percentage*

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

9.917

2.893

0.93

60

50 61.054

*# Percentage*

40

30

20

10

0

30.579

6.405

1.653 0.31

0

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

# Page 1 of 2

*# Percentage*


*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*


*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

****

**\*\* Numbers indicated in X-Axis=Response Score and Number on top of bar indicates percentage of students evaluated.**



Q13. Identification of students'strengthand Q14. Identification of students' weakness and Q15. The institute's engagement of students in encouragement remedialmeasures themonitoring,reviewandcontinuousquality

improvement

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

50

45

43.905

37.5

45.971 33.781

13.017

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

50

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

34.401 49.38

12.603

14.05

3.719

1.86

4.752 2.893

1.963 0.207

4 3

2

*#Ratings*

1 0

4 3

2

*# Ratings*

1 0

4 3

2 1

*# Ratings*

0

Q16. Use of student-centric methods,suchas Q17. Teachers' encouragement ofstudentsto Q18.Institute/Teachersinculcatingsoftskills, experiential learning, participativelearningand participate inextracurricularactivities life skills and employability skillsamong

problemsolvingmethodologies students

50

60

50

36.57 52.996

40

30

3.099 0.723

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

39.669

46.798

60

50

40

30

34.401 51.343

11.364

20

10

0

6.612

1.756 0.413

20

10

0

10.021

2.996

1.24

4

3 2 1

*# Ratings*

0

3 2

*# Ratings*

1 0

4

4

3 2 1

*# Ratings*

0

Q19. Percentage of teachers using ICTtoolssuch Q20. Is the overall quality ofteaching-

as LCD projector, Multimedia,etc.while learning process in institute is very good? teaching

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

50 ~~40.496~~

36.364

43.388

14.05

1.343

4.855

1

45

40

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

46.694

11.674

1.033 0.103

4

3 2 1

*# Ratings*

0

4

3 2

*# Ratings*

0

*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

**Page 2 of 2**